The Intramolecular Charge Transfer State in Carbonyl-Containing Polyenes and Carotenoids

Miriam M. Enriquez, Marcel Fuciman, Amy M. LaFountain, Nicole L. Wagner, Robert R. Birge,* and Harry A. Frank*

Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, U-3060, 55 North Eagleville Road, Storrs, Connecticut 06269-3060

Received: July 2, 2010; Revised Manuscript Received: August 25, 2010

Numerous femtosecond time-resolved optical spectroscopic experiments have reported that the lifetime of the low-lying S_1 state of carbonyl-containing polyenes and carotenoids decreases with increasing solvent polarity. The effect becomes even more pronounced as the number of double bonds in the conjugated π -electron system decreases. The effect has been attributed to an intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) state coupled to S_1 , but it is still not clear what the precise molecular nature of this state is, and how it is able to modulate the spectral and dynamic properties of polyenes and carotenoids. In this work, we examine the nature of the ICT state in three substituted polyenes: crocetindial, which contains two terminal, symmetrically substituted carbonyl groups in conjugation with the π -electron system, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, which has one terminal conjugated carbonyl group and one hydroxyl group, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol, which has two terminal, symmetrically positioned, hydroxyl groups but no carbonyls. Femtosecond time-resolved optical spectroscopic experiments on these molecules reveal that only the asymmetrically substituted 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al exhibits any substantial effect of solvent on the excited state spectra and dynamics. The data are interpreted using molecular orbital theory which shows that the ICT state develops via mixing of the low-lying S_1 (2¹A_glike) and S_2 (1¹B_u-like) excited singlet states to form a resultant state that preferentially evolves in polar solvent and exhibits a very large (~25 D) dipole moment. Molecular dynamics calculations demonstrate that the features of the ICT state are present in ~ 20 fs.

Introduction

One-photon electronic transitions to and from the ground state, S_0 , to the lowest-lying excited state, S_1 , of polyenes and carotenoids are forbidden due to the fact that both states are characterized by the same A_g^- symmetry representation.¹⁻⁵ The forbiddenness of this transition manifests itself in a very low quantum yield of emission from S1, and an insensitivity to solvent environment of the S₁ energy and lifetime.^{6–8} However, for polyenes and carotenoids possessing a carbonyl group in conjugation with the π -electron system, a large effect of solvent on the lifetime and $S_1 \rightarrow S_n$ excited state absorption (ESA) spectra has been reported.^{9–15} Femtosecond time-resolved optical spectroscopic experiments on carbonyl-containing molecules having different π -electron conjugation lengths have demonstrated an increasing effect of solvent as the number of conjugated double bonds, N, in the π -electron system decreases.^{12,13,15} The effect has been attributed to an intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) state that is coupled to S_1 and whose energy can be modulated by the polarity of the solvent.^{8–10} However, apart from the obligatory presence of a carbonyl, it is still not clear what the molecular nature of the ICT state is, and how it controls the spectral and dynamic properties of polyenes and carotenoids. Various suggestions regarding the molecular basis of the ICT state include it being a separate electronic state from S_1 ,^{9,16–19} quantum mechanically mixed with S_1 ,^{20,21} or S_1 with a large intrinsic dipole moment.²²

In this paper, we examine the nature of the ICT state in three substituted polyenes having seven conjugated carbon-carbon

double bonds (Figure 1). The molecules are crocetindial, which contains two terminal, symmetrically substituted, carbonyl groups in conjugation with the π -electron system, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, which has one terminal conjugated carbonyl group and, on the other end of the molecule, one hydroxyl group, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol, which has two terminal, symmetrically positioned, hydroxyl groups and no carbonyls. Femtosecond time-resolved optical spectroscopic experiments on these molecules show that only the asymmetrically substituted 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al exhibits any substantial effect of solvent on its ESA spectra and dynamics. The data are interpreted using molecular orbital theory which has revealed that the ICT state evolves via mixing of the low-lying $2^{1}A_{g}$ like and 1¹B_u-like $\pi\pi^*$ excited singlet states as a result of excited state bond order reversal. The resultant state preferentially evolves in polar solvent and exhibits a very large dipole moment $(\sim 25 \text{ D})$. Molecular dynamics calculations indicate that the key properties of the ICT state are present after approximately 20 fs.

Experimental Methods

Crocetindial was obtained as a dry solid from Dr. Razi Naqvi. A solution of crocetindial in 2 mL of methanol (Fisher Scientific) having an optical density of \sim 2 in a 1 cm cuvette measured at its longest wavelength vibronic band was reacted with sodium borohydride (Acros Organics) by adding a few small crystals of the reducing agent to the solution. Within a few seconds, the sample was transferred into a vial containing 4 mL of methyl-*tert*-butyl ether (MTBE, Fisher Scientific) and water (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:1, v/v). The polyenes moved readily into

^{*} Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: rbirge@uconn.edu (R.R.B.); harry.frank@uconn.edu (H.A.F.).



Figure 1. Structures and HPLC chromatogram of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol.

the ether layer, while the sodium borohydride remained dissolved in the aqueous phase, thus stopping the reaction. The ether layer was evaporated to dryness, and the remaining residue was redissolved in acetonitrile (Fisher Scientific) and injected into a Millipore Waters 600E high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) employing either a Phenomenex Luna 5 μ m silica (250×4.6 mm) analytical column or a Waters Sunfire silica OBD (5 μ m, 19 \times 100 mm) preparative column and a Waters 2996 single diode-array detector. The mobile phase consisted of a linear gradient from 90% hexanes (Fisher Scientific) and 10% acetone (Fisher Scientific) to 80% hexanes and 20% acetone over 20 min at a flow rate of either 1 mL/min (analytical column) or 7 mL/min (preparative column). HPLC peaks corresponding to unreacted crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol (Figure 1) were collected, dried under a gentle stream of gaseous nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. For the spectroscopic experiments, the molecules were dissolved in solvents having similar polarizabilities, R(n), but different polarities, $P(\varepsilon)$: hexane $(P(\varepsilon) =$ 0.229, R(n) = 0.228, Fisher Scientific), acetonitrile ($P(\varepsilon) =$ 0.921, R(n) = 0.210, Fisher Scientific), and methanol $(P(\varepsilon) = 0.913, R(n) = 0.203, \text{Aldrich Chemicals}).$

Steady-state absorption spectra were recorded using a Varian Cary 50 UV-visible spectrophotometer, and fluorescence measurements were carried out using a Jobin-Yvon Horiba Fluorolog-3 equipped with a Hamamatsu R928P detector and a 450 W ozone-free Osram XBO xenon arc lamp. Excitation and emission monochromator slits were set to a bandpass of 10 and 2.5 nm for fluorescence measurements and 2.5 and 10 nm for excitation measurements. The fluorescence spectra were corrected for the instrument response function using a correction factor file generated from a standard lamp.

Femtosecond time-resolved transient absorption measurements were made using a spectrometer system based on an amplified, 1 kHz Ti:sapphire tunable laser (Spectra-Physics) as previously described.²³ Pump pulses having a duration of ~ 60 fs were obtained by second harmonic generation using 0.7 mm type I BBO crystal with a resulting wavelength of 406 nm. Probe laser pulses used a white light continuum between 450 and 800 nm generated by crystal from Ultrafast Systems LLC. A chargecoupled detector S2000 with a 2048 pixel array from Ocean Optics was used for detection. The planes of polarization of the pump and probe beams were set at the magic angle (54.7°) relative to each other, and the recorded signals were averaged over 5 s time intervals. All three samples were pumped at 406 nm. The energy of the pump beam was 1 μ J/pulse in a spot size of 1 mm diameter corresponding to an intensity of \sim 2.0 \times 10¹⁴ photons/cm² per pulse. The full width at half-maximum of the cross correlation in methanol for excitation pulses at 485 nm and probe pulses at 565 nm was determined to be ~ 170 fs according to the procedure of Ziolek et al.24 and was assumed to be the same for other solvents due to the similarity of polarizability factors. The samples were adjusted to an optical density between 0.4 and 0.6 at the excitation wavelength in a 2 mm path length cuvette. Steady-state absorption spectra of the samples were taken before and after every transient experiment to ensure no sample degradation had occurred. Chirp correction of the transient absorption spectra was accomplished using Surface Explorer Pro (v.1.1.0.17) software (Ultrafast Systems LCC). Global fitting analysis was carried out using ASUfit version 3.0 software. The quality of the fit was evaluated on the basis of the residual matrix and χ^2 probability distribution function.

Theoretical Methods

Molecular Orbital Theory. Vacuum calculations were carried out using the MNDO-PSDCI,25 SAC-CI,26-31 and EOM-CCSD³²⁻³⁵ methods. Solvent effect calculations were executed using the SCRF keyword as implemented in Gaussian 09.36 Each calculation was run using the integral equation formalism variant polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM) method with configuration interaction singles (CIS).³⁷⁻⁴² Equilibrium state-specific solvation was accomplished using an external iteration approach with a Dunning/Huzinaga⁴³ full double- ζ basis set (D95). Semiempirical MNDO-PSDCI calculations have been shown to accurately predict the level ordering in retinal polyenes44,45 and carotenoids.22,46 However, MNDO-PSDCI methods did not provide an adequate model for the ICT state in peridinin.²² Thus, in this study, we included more sophisticated methods. The SAC-CI methods provide the most reliable method for the calculation of excited state dipolar properties, and include the ability to perform excited state minimization with high levels of correlation.^{26-31,47} All SAC-CI calculations used correlation level = 2 and a double- ζ (D95) basis set.^{36,43} In addition, coupled-cluster (EOM-CCSD) methods were used to calculate the level ordering with high levels of correlation.³²⁻³⁵ When carrying out EOM-CCSD calculations, we used a 32 molecular orbital window consisting of the 16 highest energy filled orbitals and the 16 lowest energy unfilled



Figure 2. Steady-state absorption spectra of crocetindial, 8,8'diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol recorded in hexane, acetonitrile (acn), and methanol (MeOH) at room temperature.

(virtual) orbitals. The excitation energies and properties were calculated relative to the Møller–Plesset level 2 (MP2) ground state.³⁶ Preliminary calculations demonstrated that this combination worked well for predicting the level ordering in linear polyenes and retinal visual chromophores. All molecular orbital calculations except for the MNDO-PSDCI procedures were carried out using Gaussian 09.³⁶ The MNDO-PSDCI program is available from R. R. Birge by request.

Results

Steady-State Absorption. Steady-state absorption spectra of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol in hexane, acetonitrile, and methanol are shown in Figure 2. The spectrum of crocetindial (Figure 2A) exhibits a red-shift of 8 nm and a broadening of its line shape upon going from nonpolar hexane to the polar solvent, acetonitrile, and a further broadening and additional 2 nm red-shift upon being dissolved in the polar, protic solvent, methanol. Borohy-dride reduction of one of the conjugated carbonyl groups in crocetindial to form 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al results in a 6 nm blue-shift of the spectrum taken in hexane compared to that of crocetindial taken in the same solvent. Similarly to crocetindial, dissolving 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al in methanol and

acetonitrile broadens the spectral profiles compared to that seen in hexane, but for this molecule, the spectra do not shift their wavelength positions in the different solvents (Figure 2B). Reduction of both carbonyls in crocetindial to form 8,8'diapocarotene-8,8'-diol results in a further 31 nm blue-shift of the spectrum taken in hexane compared to that of 8,8'diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al in the same solvent. For 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol, however, no solvent dependence of the position of the vibronic bands or line shape is observed (Figure 2C). Instead, the spectra in the different solvents coincide almost perfectly except in the region between 300 and 375 nm where significant absorption is evident for the molecule in hexane. This is due to the formation of aggregates caused by the lack of solubility of the polar 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol molecule in the nonpolar solvent, hexane.

Steady-State Fluorescence. Fluorescence spectra of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'diol in hexane, acetonitrile, and methanol are shown in Figure 3. For all three molecules, two different sets of emission spectral bands are observed. The first set displays relatively sharp bands in hexane and is only slightly red-shifted compared to the absorption spectrum. These bands are typical of $S_2 \rightarrow S_0$ emission reported for polyenes and carotenoids.48-50 The second set of emission bands exhibits a much broader spectral profile, although in some cases (e.g., see Figure 3B and C) retaining vibronic structure, and is substantially red-shifted relative to their corresponding absorption spectra. This indicates that the emission originates from the S1 state. For crocetindial dissolved in hexane (Figure 3A), the $S_2 \rightarrow S_0$ emission bands are most intense, whereas in acetonitrile and methanol (Figure 3B and C) the $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ emission is more prominent. For 8,8'diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al (Figure 3D-F), the S_1 emission is strongly dominant in all solvents, and the spectrum broadens and loses all vibronic band structure in the polar solvents, methanol and acetonitrile, compared to that seen in hexane. Emission spectra of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol (Figure 3G and H) taken in acetonitrile and methanol appear at the same wavelength positions and with similar amounts of vibronic structure but differ slightly in the ratio of S_2 vs S_1 emission: In acetonitrile, the ratio is slightly higher than that in methanol. 8,8'-Diapocarotene-8,8'-diol was not sufficiently soluble in hexane to obtain a reasonable emission spectrum.

Transient Absorption. Transient absorption spectra of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol taken in different solvents at various delay times after excitation into the S_2 state are shown in Figure 4. At time zero (within the time duration of the excitation laser pulse, labeled 0 fs in Figure 4), the spectra for all three molecules exhibit bleaching of the ground state absorption and a small amount of stimulated fluorescence.

Excitation of crocetindial in all solvents results in a rapid buildup of excited state absorption (ESA) in the wavelength range 450-550 nm (Figure 4A-C). This buildup of ESA is associated with an $S_1 \rightarrow S_n$ transition. The transient absorption spectra taken at delay times of 200 fs and 1 ps are slightly broader than those taken at 100 ps for the molecule dissolved in hexane and acetonitrile. In methanol, very little difference in the width of the transient absorption bands is seen at different time delays after the excitation pulse. However, in methanol, an additional small transient absorption band is seen at ~610 nm (Figure 4C). This band may be attributed to transient absorption from S_1 to a low-lying excited state, possibly the one typically denoted as S_3 into which absorption from the ground state becomes allowed upon *trans*-to-*cis* geometric



Figure 3. Fluorescence emission spectra of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol taken in different solvents at room temperature. All spectra were normalized.

isomerization. This assignment was made previously on the basis of similar observations on several open-chain carotenoids.^{51,52} No evidence of this lower-energy band is seen for crocetindial dissolved in either hexane (Figure 4A) or acetonitrile (Figure 4B).

Photoexcitation of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al into its S₂ state gives rise to substantially more ESA bands (Figure 4D-F) than seen for crocetindial (Figure 4A-C). In hexane (Figure 4D) at a 200 fs time delay, a positive ESA signal appears at 495 nm. This band becomes even stronger in the 10 ps time delay trace and is typical of an $S_1 \rightarrow S_n$ transition observed for carotenoids and polyenes.⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ The band appears blue-shifted by ~ 10 nm compared to the same signal seen in crocetindial in hexane (Figure 4A). This blue-shift is due to the shorter π -electron conjugation length of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al compared to crocetindial (Figure 1). In addition, two other bands at \sim 560 and 610 nm that were not present in the 200 fs trace become evident in the 10 ps spectrum of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al. These bands are highly reminiscent of those attributed to an ICT \rightarrow S_n transition that is uniquely present in carbonyl-containing polyenes and carotenoids.^{9,10,12,13,15,53,55} When 8,8'diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al is dissolved in the polar solvents, acetonitrile and methanol (Figure 4E and F), the ESA line shape becomes strikingly different compared to that seen in hexane (Figure 4D). At a 200 fs time delay, three strong bands are observed at \sim 510, 575, and 730 nm. The band at 730 nm disappears completely from the spectrum taken at a 1 ps time delay, suggesting that it is associated with an $S_2 \rightarrow S_n$ transition that decays rapidly as the S2 state nonradiatively converts to S_1 . Of the remaining two bands, the one at 510 nm is sharper than the one at 575 nm and is very likely associated with the same $S_1 \rightarrow S_n$ transition that gives rise to the band at 495 nm in hexane. The apparent red-shift from 495 to 510 nm is undoubtedly due to the fact that owing to the broader $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ spectrum in the polar solvents (Figure 2B) the negative ground state bleaching signal below 500 nm encroaches on the region of positive amplitude of the $S_1 \rightarrow S_n$ transition and shifts the maximum of the band slightly to longer wavelength. The ESA signal at 575 in acetonitrile and methanol is broad and asymmetric and has a prominent shoulder on the long wavelength side of the band. This shape is most likely due to the merging of at least two separate ESA bands in this wavelength region.

Transient absorption spectra of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol in acetonitrile and methanol are given in Figure 4G and H. This molecule was not sufficiently soluble in hexane to obtain an ESA spectrum of reasonable quality. In both acetonitrile and methanol, at a 200 fs delay time, two strong ESA bands appear at \sim 470 and 750 nm. The band at 750 nm is broad and completely gone from the spectral traces taken at a 1 ps delay.



Figure 4. Transient absorption spectra of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol taken at different time delays after excitation at 406 nm in different solvents at room temperature.

Therefore, it can be assigned to an $S_2 \rightarrow S_n$ transition. The band at 470 nm is much sharper and once again typical of that seen for $S_1 \rightarrow S_n$ transitions from carotenoids and polyenes. It is blueshifted by ~40 and ~60 nm compared to the same signal observed for 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al (Figure 4F) and crocetindial (Figure 4C), respectively, in methanol. This blueshift is due to the fact that 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol has the shortest π -electron conjugated chain of the molecules examined here.

Kinetics Analysis. Transient profiles corresponding to the most prominent bands of the ESA spectra shown in Figure 4 were fit to a sum of exponentials in order to obtain the excited state dynamics of the molecules. Figure 5 shows the solvent dependence of the decay kinetics of the ESA signals. The solid lines represent the fits obtained from the kinetics analysis. For crocetindial (Figure 5A), only a small dependence on solvent was observed, with the S_1 lifetime being 127 ps in hexane, 135 ps in acetonitrile, and 97 ps in methanol. A recent ultrafast transient absorption investigation has been reported for crocin, a diester formed from the disaccharide gentiobiose and the dicarboxylic acid crocetin.⁵⁶ Crocin has an identical π -electron conjugated chain as crocetindial investigated here, but instead of displaying a 97 ps S₁ lifetime in methanol, it was found to have a lifetime of 135 ps, i.e., similar to that observed for crocetindial in hexane and acetonitrile. The lack of an effect of polarity on the S1 lifetime of crocin in methanol was attributed to a partial isolation of the carbonyl groups from the main conjugation due to their involvement as ester linkages to the



Figure 5. Representative kinetic traces (symbols) with fits obtained at maxima of $S_1 - S_n$ bands (single wavelengths) (lines). All kinetic traces were normalized for clarity.

8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al

8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol



Figure 6. Evolution associated difference spectra (EADS) obtained from global fitting results of the transient absorption in Figure 4.

bulky hydrophilic disaccharide groups.⁵⁶ However, the decay dynamics of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al show an extreme sensitivity to solvent polarity. The lifetime of the excited state is 300 ps in hexane, and shorter by more than an order of magnitude in acetonitrile (21 ps) and methanol (18 ps). These data from crocetindial and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al clearly demonstrate the obligatory need for the asymmetric placement of a carbonyl group for such a profound effect of solvent on the excited state lifetime to be observed. It is also important to point out that, for 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, no dependence of the decay kinetics on the detection wavelength was observed. Figure S1 (Supporting Information) presents an overlay of the kinetics monitored in the short $(S_1 \rightarrow S_n)$ and long $(ICT \rightarrow S_n)$ wavelength ESA regions and shows that the kinetic traces are essentially the same within experimental error.

A more detailed kinetics analysis was carried out by globally fitting the entire spectral and temporal data sets using a model based on a sequential decay mechanism. The resulting lineshapes are termed evolution associated difference spectra (EADS) and are given in Figure 6.

For all three molecules, three EADS components were sufficient to obtain a satisfactory fit based on singular value decomposition (SVD) and minimization of the residual matrix. The fastest kinetic component has a time constant ranging from 120 to 170 fs and contains a number of negative bands in the 450-600 nm range. As mentioned above, these are attributable to a combination of bleaching of the steady-state $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ absorption bands and stimulated emission from the S2 state. A second EADS component falls in the range 280-900 fs, and due to the fact that in all cases it appears much broader than the third EADS component into which it evolves, it is assigned to a vibrationally nonequilibrated S1 excited state, consistent with previous reports on several different carotenoids.^{51,52,57-60}

The third and final EADS component for crocetindial (Figure 6A-C) exhibits a strong band between 500 and 525 nm characteristic of a transition from a vibrationally relaxed S1 state to a higher S_n excited state. In addition, a smaller amplitude band is present at 610 nm in this component for crocetindial in all solvents, although it is quite weak in hexane. The fact that the strong and weak bands occur in the same EADS component suggests that they originate and are associated with decay from the same (S_1) excited state. The time constant of this component is 127 ps in hexane, 135 ps in acetonitrile, and 97 ps in methanol.

The band profile of the third EADS component for 8,8'diapocarotene-8,8'-diol in acetonitrile and methanol (Figure 6G and H) resembles that from crocetindial (Figure 6B and C) including the presence of a small amplitude band at \sim 590 nm. However, the lifetime of the third component for 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol is much longer than that of crocetindial: It is 420 ps in acetonitrile and 450 ps in methanol, an effect that can be attributed to the higher S₁ energy of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol compared with crocetindial.

In contrast to crocetindial and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol, the line shape and lifetime of the third EADS component of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al are strongly dependent on solvent

TABLE 1:	Dynamics of 1	the Excited States of	Crocetindial, 8,	8′-Diapocarotene-8	8′-ol-8-al, and 8	,8'-Diapocarotene-8,8'-dio
----------	---------------	-----------------------	------------------	--------------------	-------------------	----------------------------

		lifetime			
molecule	solvent	$ au_1$ /fs	τ_2/fs	τ_3/ps	
crocetindial	hexane	<170	800 ± 400	127 ± 5	
	acetonitrile	<170	900 ± 500	135 ± 5	
	methanol	170 ± 50	300 ± 100	97 ± 5	
8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al	hexane	<170	730 ± 200	300 ± 20	
	acetonitrile	<170	280 ± 50	21 ± 3	
	methanol	<170	300 ± 100	18 ± 2	
8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol	hexane	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
	acetonitrile	<170	800 ± 400	420 ± 50	
	methanol	<170	570 ± 300	450 ± 50	

a The uncertainties in the numbers were determined from an examination of the region of solution for each fitted parameter based on the values of the residuals. The pump wavelength for all samples was set at 406 nm. n.d. = not determined.

polarity. In all solvents, the line shape contains features attributed to both $S_1 \rightarrow S_n$ and ICT $\rightarrow S_n$ transitions with the latter bands appearing at longer wavelength and being much more prominent in the polar solvents, acetonitrile and methanol. Also, as already mentioned above, the lifetime is shorter by more than an order of magnitude in the polar solvents compared to in the nonpolar solvent (Figure 6D-F). Table 1 summarizes the results of all the kinetics analyses.

Discussion

Steady-State Absorption and Fluorescence. The absorption spectra of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'diapocarotene-8,8'-diol shift systematically to shorter wavelengths due to the S₂ state of the molecules becoming higher in energy as the π -electron conjugated chain length is shortened. However, only crocetindial displays an effect of solvent on the wavelength position of its absorption bands (Figure 2). Figure 2A shows that the absorption spectrum of crocetindial shifts noticeably to longer wavelength in going from hexane to acetonitrile to methanol. This shift occurs despite the fact that the polarizabilities of these solvents decrease slightly in that same order. We cannot provide a definitive explanation of this observation. We suggest that the observed blue-shift may reflect the formation of s-cis or corkscrew conformations⁵¹ that enhance the dipole moment of the ground state in polar solvent. The formation of these conformations would preferentially stabilize the ground state and lead to a blue-shift in the absorption spectrum.

The $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ absorption spectra of crocetindial and 8,8'diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al exhibit substantial line broadening and loss of vibronic resolution when the molecules are dissolved in the polar solvents, acetonitrile and methanol, but 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol does not (Figure 2). The absorption band broadening is characteristic of carotenoids and polyenes having at least one carbonyl group in conjugation with the π -electron system of carbon-carbon double bonds,8,10,53,55 and is caused by an ensemble of conformational isomers that forms when the molecules are dissolved in polar solvents. Because each individual isomer has a slightly different absorption spectrum, the distribution results in a heterogeneously broadened band envelope.^{22,61} Spectral broadening with increasing solvent polarity is also observed in the $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ fluorescence spectra of 8,8'diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al (Figure 3D-F) but not for crocetindial (Figure 3A-C) or 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol (Figure 3G and H). This broadening indicates that, unlike the molecules in the S₂ state which form a broad distribution of conformational isomers in polar solvents when either one or two carbonyls are present in the π -electron conjugation, the molecules in the S₁ state will only do so when one carbonyl is present. Somehow

the presence of two terminal carbonyls in crocetindial, perhaps due to their symmetric placement, leads to a narrower potential energy well in the S_1 state that inhibits the formation of conformational isomers compared to that of its S_2 state. The apparent propensity for 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al to undergo conformational twisting in both the S_1 and S_2 states may be related to its ability to form an ICT state as discussed further below.

All three of the molecules investigated here display some amount of emission from both the S_1 and S_2 states, but only crocetindial exhibits a clear crossover from dominant S₂ emission (Figure 3A) to dominant S₁ emission (Figure 3B and C) upon transferring the molecule from the nonpolar solvent, hexane, to either of the polar solvents, acetonitrile or methanol. A similar crossover has been reported for carotenoids with decreasing conjugated chain lengths.^{49,62} Carotenoids with nine or more carbon-carbon double bonds show primarily fluorescence associated with the $S_2 \twoheadrightarrow S_0$ transition. For shorterchromophore carotenoids, the fluorescence is dominated by the $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ transition. This crossover has been explained by a smaller S_2-S_1 energy gap in the shorter molecules which promotes nonradiative internal conversion from S₂, leading to a diminished yield of fluorescence from the S2 state.5,49,63 For longer carotenoids ($N \ge 9$) which have larger $S_2 - S_1$ energy gaps, the rate of $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ internal conversion is decreased, and this enhances the probability of S₂ emission. For crocetindial, the fact that there is a red-shift of the $S_2 \rightarrow S_0$ absorption spectrum in going from hexane to acetonitrile (Figures 2A) while the S_1 lifetime remains essentially constant for these samples (Figure 5A) indicates that the S_2-S_1 energy gap is indeed smaller in acetonitrile compared to in hexane. Thus, an increased rate of $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ internal conversion accompanied by a diminished probability of S₂ emission in the polar solvent is expected and observed (Figure 3A and B).

Excited State Spectra and Kinetics. The wavelengths of the ESA spectral features and the dynamics for all three molecules can be accounted for on the basis of changes in the energies of the S₁, S₂, and ICT excited states as a function of *N* and solvent polarity. As the π -electron chain length of the molecules decreases with the removal of a carbonyl from the conjugated system, the S₁ state energy decreases faster than the S_n state, resulting in a blue-shift of the S₁ \rightarrow S_n ESA band position. For 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, as the polarity of the solvent increases in going from hexane to acetonitrile or methanol, the ICT state is stabilized and the excited state lifetime of the molecule becomes shorter. It is important to point out, however, that, unlike other carbonyl-containing carotenoids and polyenes,^{11,53} 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al exhibits no effect of probe wavelength on the dynamics of the excited state (Figure



Figure 7. Calculated electronic properties of the low-lying excited singlet states of crocetindial (dial), 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al (olal), and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol (diol) based on MNDO-PSDCI and EOM-CCSD molecular orbital theory (see text). The oscillator strengths of selected states are printed above or below the state rectangles, the colors of which indicate the percent ionic character based on the inserted gauge. Both methods agree on the level ordering of the first four to five states, and agree reasonably well with the Franck–Condon maxima of the various spectra (see Figure S2, Supporting Information).

S1, Supporting Information), suggesting that either the S_1 and ICT states are strongly coupled or that the populations of the states exist in fast equilibrium.

The most striking observation in the kinetics data is that the excited state lifetime of the asymmetric 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'ol-8-al molecule is profoundly dependent on solvent polarity, whereas the symmetric crocetindial and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'diol molecules are not. This observation follows from the fact that 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al has a large ground state dipole moment, and that the two lowest-lying $\pi\pi^*$ excited singlet states involve varying degrees of charge-transfer character. Solvent effects on both level ordering and excited state properties are of critical importance in the evolution of these singlet states, which depends on the polarity of the solvent. We now proceed to discuss this issue in detail.

Molecular Orbital Theory and Molecular Dynamics. Presented here is an overview of the molecular orbital calculations with an emphasis on understanding the nature of the ICT state. Additional details regarding the calculations can be found in the Supporting Information.

Excited State Level Ordering. The photophysical properties of crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol are determined in large part by the excited singlet state level ordering. In vacuum or nonpolar solvents, all three compounds have lowest-lying $2^{1}A_{g}$ -like excited singlet states (see discussion above). Previous theoretical studies have demonstrated that the description of such states requires doubly excited configuration interaction, or procedures with comparable levels of correlation.^{64,65} The calculated level orderings based

on MNDO-PSDCI and EOM-CCSD calculations are shown in Figure 7. Each excited state is represented by a rectangle, the vertical width of which is proportional to the oscillator strength, which is a measure of the allowedness of the transition. The color of the rectangle reflects the ionic (red) versus covalent (blue) character of the excited state (see color gauge inset).

The terms ionic and covalent trace their origins to valence bond theory, but both types of states can be properly described using molecular orbital theory provided both single and double configuration interaction (CI) is included. An ionic state is one which is well described by single CI and which tends to have regions of localized charge. Charge transfer states are by definition ionic states. A covalent state is defined by high levels of double CI and a smooth distribution of electron density that minimizes electron-electron repulsion. Such states are said to be well correlated, which means the electrons occupy wave functions that distribute the electrons to rigorously minimize repulsion. The ionic and covalent states are pure only in nonpolar, symmetrical molecules, such as linear polyenes. In polar molecules, these states are mixed in character, but as can be seen by reference to Figure 7, the core characteristics remain intact. Note that only 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al has a static dipole moment.

Nature of the ICT State. One of the key experimental observations of this study is that 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al exhibits an ICT state in polar solvent. The ICT state in 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al is qualitatively similar to the ICT state observed in peridinin.^{9,20,53,66} Because 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al is significantly smaller, it provides an excellent theoretical

target for studying the nature of the ICT state in general. We utilized SAC-CI to examine the dipolar properties of the lowlying excited singlet state of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al for three geometries: ground state, relaxed excited state, and a 20 fs dynamic state. The last of these is described in a separate section below. The SAC-CI method is one of the best methods available for the calculation of excited state geometries and electron densities.^{26,29} The SAC-CI method has one flaw, however. Because the double configuration interaction does not include coupled triplets, the ¹B_u-like state is often calculated to be lower in energy than the 2¹A_g-like state in long chain polyenes, contrary to experimental observation. Coupled triplets are important contributors to electron correlation in ${}^1\!A_{\underline{\sigma}}\text{-like}$ covalent excited singlet states.⁶⁷ Despite that complication, a great deal can be learned about the ICT state from an analysis of the SAC-CI results.

The dipolar properties of the low-lying singlet states based on the ground state geometry are shown in Figure 8. Solvent effect calculations indicate that the lowest singlet state would be the ${}^{1}A_{g}$ -like covalent state, which is S₃ in this diagram. In very polar solvents such as acetonitrile and methanol, the ¹B_ulike ionic state is the lowest excited singlet state (S_1 in this figure). The S_2 state is the $n\pi^*$ state, and it is predicted to be above both of these states by more accurate level ordering calculations (see Figure 7). The S_1 ionic state has properties that are characteristic of the charge transfer state. Of key importance is the large static dipole moment (~ 20 D), which is in the same direction as the ground state dipole moment. The latter is important because it is the ground state that establishes the solvent reaction field upon excitation. Upon excitation, molecules with dipole moments in the same direction as the ground state will be stabilized by this reaction field, which is why the ${}^{1}B_{u}$ -like ionic state is the lowest singlet state in polar solvent. The other states shown in Figure 8 have dipole moments that are opposed to the reaction field. These states will all be destabilized in polar solvent. CIS-PCM state-specific solvent effect calculations 39,42 indicate that the ${}^1\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{u}}\text{-like}$ ionic state of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al will be stabilized by 0.97 eV in methanol. Solvent destabilization of the ¹Ag-like covalent state is sufficient to invert the lowest two levels shown in Figure 8. This evidence supports the conclusion that the ¹B_u-like ionic state of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al is the lowest-lying excited singlet state in methanol.

A lowest-lying singlet state will live long enough to interact with the reaction field and change geometry on a time scale comparable to the emission process (~ 1 ps). Geometry changes are driven by the change in orbital occupation, and for polyenes, excitation induces significant bond order reversal. Geometry changes that take place within 0-50 fs, i.e., within the temporal resolution of our spectrometer, and during which time as noted above, the ICT state forms, are of particular interest. To explore the ICT state in more detail, we used SAC-CI methods to generate the equilibrium geometry of the S1 excited state in vacuum, and used the force constants for the ground and excited states to carry out molecular dynamics using the Charmm forcefield.⁶⁸ These classical calculations allow explicit inclusion of the solvent, which was assumed to be water, rather than methanol, for simplicity. Twenty 200 fs simulations were run with alternating initial conditions and a time resolution of 0.01 fs. In half of the trajectories, the atoms were started out at rest with random velocities equivalent to the ambient temperature kinetic energy. In the other half, the atoms were started with random positions such that the total potential energy was equal to RT at room temperature. The trajectories were monitored



Figure 8. SAC-CI analysis of the dipolar properties of the low-lying singlet states in 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al based on the equilibrium ground state geometry. The contours and the arrow in the lowest (S₀) panel reflect the ground state dipolar properties. The contours and the arrows in the S₁, S₂, and S₃ panels reflect the charge shifts upon excitation into these states. The ground electrostatic field and the excited state charge shift contours are approximate and are calculated assuming vacuum conditions and point-charge electrostatics with contour levels: 0, ±173, ±1380, ±4680, ±11 100, ±21 700, ±37 500, ±59 500, ±88 900, ±126 000, ±173 000, ±231 000, ±300 000, ±381 000, ±476 000, ±586 000 J/mol. Blue contours signify regions of excess positive charge.

and graphed in terms of rms deviation from the ground and excited state equilibrium geometries. A plot of the rms deviations as a function of time is shown in Figure S3 (Supporting Information). The equilibrium ground and excited state geometries and the average S_1 geometry after 20 fs are shown in Figure 9. We assign the S_1 (20 fs) geometry to be our best representation of the ICT state, and we will now call this representation ICT (20 fs). The difference in geometry of this state and the ground state is extremely small and involves primarily small movements in the carbon atoms near the center of the polyene chain. Nevertheless, this geometry exhibits significant bond order reversal and has interesting properties.

The electronic and dipolar properties of the ICT (20 fs) state are presented in Figure 10. This state exhibits a very large dipole



Figure 9. Ground (A) and excited state (B, C) geometries of 8,8'diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al. The ground state geometry was generated using B3LYP/6-31G(d) procedures. The optimized excited state geometry in C was generated using SAC-CI/D95 procedures, and is overlaid on top of the ground state geometry in D. The structure shown in B was generated using Charmm dynamics based on the SAC-CI force constants (see text). Bond lengths of key bonds are indicated next to the bond using the formula (bond length (Å) – 1.0 Å) × 1000. Thus, a value of 222 indicates a bond length of 1.222 Å.

moment (27.9 D) and a large oscillator strength (f = 2.24). The calculated transition energy of 2.24 eV is close to the experimental transition energy of 2.37 eV estimated on the basis of the origin reflected emission spectrum (see Figure S2, Supporting Information). A key observation is that the configurational characteristics of this state include a large (16%) contribution from double excited configurations. Indeed, this state shares significant configurational character with the higher energy 2¹A_olike covalent state, and can be viewed as a linear combination of the 1¹B_u-like ionic and 2¹A_g-like covalent states. The chargetransfer character is due to a selection of those configurations from both parent states, which enhance the dipole moment of the final state. We believe this statement is true of all ICT states reported for carbonyl-containing polyenes and carotenoid. Namely, the creation of a lowest-lying ICT state requires extensive mixing of the lowest-lying 1¹B_u-like ionic and 2¹A_glike covalent states to form a new state with extensive bond order reversal and charge transfer character. Recall that extensive bond order reversal is normally a characteristic of covalent states and charge transfer is normally a characteristic of ionic states. The ICT state is a charge-transfer (ionic-like) state with extensive (covalent-like) bond order reversal.

Our choice of the 20 fs dynamic state is not a key decision. SAC-CI calculations were run for the 50 and 100 fs states with nearly identical results to those shown in Figure 10. The dynamic oscillations observed in Figure S3 (Supporting Information) involve carbon-carbon conjugated (double and single) bond stretching modes, and each oscillation translates to a change in total transition energy of about 0.08 eV. This observation would by itself account for the broadness observed in the emission spectrum. However, solvent relaxation processes



Figure 10. SAC-CI analysis of the dipolar properties of the low-lying singlet states in 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al based on the 20 fs geometry shown in panel B of Figure 9. The ground electrostatic field and the excited state charge shift contours are drawn as in Figure 8.

in the ICT state would also contribute to spectral inhomogeneity, and thus there are at least two important sources generating broad emission and $S_{ICT} \rightarrow S_n$ spectra.

Conclusions

In this work, we have carried out femtosecond time-resolved optical spectroscopic experiments on crocetindial, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol to investigate the nature and origin of the ICT state in carbonyl-containing carotenoids and polyenes. The data show that only the asymmetrically substituted 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al exhibits any substantial effect of solvent on the excited state spectra and dynamics that indicate the presence of an ICT state. The spectroscopic data are interpreted using molecular orbital theory and molecular dynamics calculations which show that the ICT state evolves in polar solvent via mixing of the low-lying S₁ (2¹A_g-like) and S₂ (1¹B_u-like) excited singlet states and arises in ~20 fs as a resultant state that exhibits a very large (~25 D) dipole moment.

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank Dr. Razi Naqvi for the generous gift of the crocetindial and Dr. Tomáš Polívka for many useful discussions. This work has been supported in the laboratory of HAF by grants from the National Science Foundation (MCB-0913022) and the University of Connecticut Research Foundation. The work in the laboratory of R.R.B. was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM-34548) and the National Science Foundation (EMT-08517).

Supporting Information Available: Figures showing the kinetics of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al; absorption spectra, fluorescence emission spectra, and origin-reflected emission spectra of 8,8'-diapocarotene-8,8'-diol, 8,8'-diapocarotene-8'-ol-8-al, and crocetindial; and Charmm trajectories. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References and Notes

- (1) Pariser, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1955, 24, 250.
- (2) Hudson, B.; Kohler, B. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1974, 25, 437.
- (3) Callis, P. R.; Scott, T. W.; Albrecht, A. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 78, 16.
 - (4) Birge, R. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1986, 19, 138.
- (5) Christensen, R. L.; Barney, E. A.; Broene, R. D.; Galinato, M. G. I.; Frank, H. A. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **2004**, 430, 30.
- (6) Hudson, B. S.; Kohler, B. E. J. Chem. Phys. 1973, 59, 4984.
- (7) Hudson, B. S.; Kohler, B. E.; Schulten, K. Linear polyene electronic structure and potential surfaces. In *Excited States*; Lim, E. D., Ed.; Academic Press: New York 1982; Vol. 6, p. 1
- Press: New York, 1982; Vol. 6, p 1.
 (8) Polívka, T.; Sundström, V. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2021.
- (9) Bautista, J. A.; Connors, R. E.; Raju, B. B.; Hiller, R. G.; Sharples,
- F. P.; Gosztola, D.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Frank, H. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 8751.
- (10) Frank, H. A.; Bautista, J. A.; Josue, J.; Pendon, Z.; Hiller, R. G.; Sharples, F. P.; Gosztola, D.; Wasielewski, M. R. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, *104*, 4569.
- (11) Wild, D. A.; Winkler, K.; Stalke, S.; Oum, K.; Lenzer, T. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 2499.
- (12) Ehlers, F.; Wild, D. A.; Lenzer, T.; Oum, K. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 2257.
- (13) Kopczynski, M.; Ehlers, F.; Lenzer, T.; Oum, K. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 5370.
- (14) Stalke, S.; Wild, D. A.; Lenzer, T.; Kopczynski, M.; Lohse, P. W.; Oum, K. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2008, 10, 2180.
- (15) Chatterjee, N.; Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Kajikawa, T.; Hasegawa, S.; Katsumura, S.; Frank, H. A. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, *463*, 219.
- (16) Vaswani, H. M.; Hsu, C. P.; Head-Gordon, M.; Fleming, G. R. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 7940.
- (17) Papagiannakis, E.; Larsen, D. S.; van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Vengris, M.; Hiller, R. G.; van Grondelle, R. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 15303.
- (18) Papagiannakis, E.; Vengris, M.; Larsen, D. S.; van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Hiller, R. G.; van Grondelle, R. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 512.
- (19) Van Tassle, A. J.; Prantil, M. A.; Hiller, R. G.; Fleming, G. R. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2007**, *47*, 17.
- (20) Zigmantas, D.; Hiller, R. G.; Yartsev, A.; Sundström, V.; Polivka, T. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 5339.
- (21) Linden, P. A.; Zimmermann, J.; Brixner, T.; Holt, N. E.; Vaswani, H. M.; Hiller, R. G.; Fleming, G. R. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 10340.
- (22) Shima, S.; Ilagan, R. P.; Gillespie, N.; Sommer, B. J.; Hiller, R. G.; Sharples, F. P.; Frank, H. A.; Birge, R. R. J. Phys. Chem. A **2003**, 107,
- 8052. (23) Ilagan, R. P.; Koscielecki, J. F.; Hiller, R. G.; Sharples, F. P.;
- Gibson, G. N.; Birge, R. R.; Frank, H. A. *Biochemistry* 2006, 45, 14052.
 (24) Ziólek, M.; Lorenc, M.; Naskrecki, R. *Appl. Phys. B: Lasers Opt.* 2001, 72, 843.
- (25) Martin, C. H.; Birge, R. R. J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 852.
- (26) Ishida, M.; Toyota, K.; Ehara, M.; Frisch, M. J.; Nakatsuji, H. J. Chem. Phys. **2004**, *120*, 2593.
- (27) Miyahara, T.; Tokita, Y.; Nakatsuji, H. J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 7341.
- (28) Miyahara, T.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hasegawa, J.; Osuka, A.; Aratani, N.; Tsuda, A. J. Chem. Phys. **2002**, 117, 11196.
 - (29) Nakajima, T.; Nakatsuji, H. Chem. Phys. 1999, 242, 177.
 - (30) Nakatsuji, H. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1978, 59, 362.
 - (31) Nakatsuji, H. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1991, 177, 331.
 - (32) Koch, H.; Jørgensen, P. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 93, 3333.
 - (33) Stanton, J. F.; Bartlett, R. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 7029.
- (34) Koch, H.; Kobayashi, R.; Sánchez de Merás, A.; Jørgensen, P. J. Chem. Phys. **1994**, 100, 4393.
 - (35) Kállay, M.; Gauss, J. J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 121, 9257.

(36) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian 09*, revision A.1; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(37) Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Tomasi, J. J. Comput. Chem. 1998, 19, 404.
(38) Wang, L. Y.; Chen, Q. W.; Zhai, G. H.; Wen, Z. Y.; Zhang, Z. X. THEOCHEM 2006, 778, 15.

- (39) Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2999.
 (40) York, D. M.; Karplus, M. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 11060.
- (41) Improta, R.; Scalmani, G.; Frisch, M. J.; Barone, V. J. Chem. Phys.
- **2007**, *127*, 1. (42) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. J. Comput. Chem.
- (42) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 669.
- (43) Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Hay, P. J. Modern Theoretical Chemistry. In *Modern Theoretical Chemistry*; Schaefer, H. F., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1976; Vol. 3, p 1.
- (44) Ren, L.; Martin, C. H.; Wise, K. J.; Gillespie, N. B.; Luecke, H.; Lanyi, J. K.; Spudich, J. L.; Birge, R. R. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 13906.
- (45) Dukkipati, A.; Kusnetzow, A.; Babu, K. R.; Ramos, L.; Singh, D.; Knox, B. E.; Birge, R. R. *Biochemistry* **2002**, *41*, 9842.
- (46) Pendon, Z. D.; Sullivan, J. O.; van der Hoef, I.; Lugtenburg, J.; Cua, A.; Bocian, D. F.; Birge, R. R.; Frank, H. A. *Photosynth. Res.* 2005, 86, 5.
- (47) Nakatsuji, H.; Hirao, K. J. Chem. Phys. 1978, 68, 2053.
- (48) Christensen, R. L.; Goyette, M.; Gallagher, L.; Duncan, J.; DeCoster, B.; Lugtenburg, J.; Jansen, F. J.; Hoef, I. v. d. J. Phys. Chem. A **1999**, *103*, 2399.
- (49) Frank, H. A.; Josue, J. S.; Bautista, J. A.; van der Hoef, I.; Jansen, F. J.; Lugtenburg, J.; Wiederrecht, G.; Christensen, R. L. J. Phys. Chem. B
- 2002, 106, 2083.
 (50) Christensen, R. L.; Galinato, M. G. I.; Chu, E. F.; Howard, J. N.;
- (50) Christensen, R. L.; Galinato, M. G. I.; Chu, E. F.; Howard, J. N.; Broene, R. D.; Frank, H. A. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2008**, *112*, 12629.
- (51) Niedzwiedzki, D.; Koscielecki, J. F.; Cong, H.; Sullivan, J. O.; Gibson, G. N.; Birge, R. R.; Frank, H. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 5984.
- (52) Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Sandberg, D. J.; Cong, H.; Sandberg, M. N.; Gibson, G. N.; Birge, R. R.; Frank, H. A. *Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *357*, 4.
- (53) Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Chatterjee, N.; Enriquez, M. M.; Kajikawa,
- T.; Hasegawa, S.; Katsumura, S.; Frank, H. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 13604.
- (54) Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Enriquez, M. M.; LaFountain, A. M.; Frank,H. A. *Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *373*, 80.
- (55) Zigmantas, D.; Hiller, R. G.; Sharples, F. P.; Frank, H. A.; Sundström, V.; Polivka, T. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *6*, 3009.
- (56) Chábera, P.; Fuciman, M.; Razi Naqvi, K.; Polivka, T. Chem. Phys. 2010, 373, 56.
- (57) Niedzwiedzki, D. M.; Sullivan, J. O.; Polivka, T.; Birge, R. R.; Frank, H. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 22872.
- (58) Billsten, H. H.; Zigmantas, D.; Sundström, V.; Polívka, T. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2002, 355, 465.
- (59) de Weerd, F. L.; van Stokkum, I. H. M.; van Grondelle, R. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2002, 354, 38.
- (60) Pendon, Z. D.; Gibson, G. N.; van der Hoef, I.; Lugtenburg, J.; Frank, H. A. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 21172.
- (61) Christensen, R. L.; Kohler, B. E. Photochem. Photobiol. 1973, 18, 293.
- (62) DeCoster, B.; Christensen, R. L.; Gebhard, R.; Lugtenburg, J.; Farhoosh, R.; Frank, H. A. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1992**, *1102*, 107.
- (63) Christensen, R. L.; Galinato, M. G. I.; Chu, E. F.; Fujii, R.; Hashimoto, H.; Frank, H. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1769.
- (64) Birge, R. R.; Pierce, B. M. J. Chem. Phys. **1979**, 70, 165.
- (65) Birge, R.; Bennett, J.; Hubbard, L.; Fang, H.; Pierce, B.; Kliger, D.; Leroi, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 2519.
- (66) Zigmantas, D.; Polivka, T.; Hiller, R. G.; Yartsev, A.; Sundström, V. J. Phys. Chem. A 2001, 105, 10296.
- (67) Schulten, K.; Ohmine, I.; Karplus, M. J. Chem. Phys. 1976, 64, 4422.
- (68) Brooks, B.; Bruccoleri, R. E.; Olafson, B. D.; States, D. J.; Swaminathan, S.; Karplus, M. J. Comput. Chem. **1983**, *4*, 187.
- JP106113H